

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M·A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/536,704	05/27/2005	Nobuyoshi Takeuchi	92478-3200	9263
52044	7590 08/22/2006		EXAM	INER
SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 600 ANTON BOULEVARD SUITE 1400			WALFORD, NATALIE K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COSTA MES	SA, CA 92626	2879		
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/536,704	TAKEUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Natalie K. Walford	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply		th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	DEDIVIS SET TO EXPIRE 2 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>27 May 2005</u> .					
,—	,—					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applic	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 May 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	<del>-</del> ,,	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International		received				
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	as □ · · ·	Summany (DTO 442)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1)</li> </ol>	948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/05</u> , <u>6/05</u> , <u>7/06</u> .		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "34" has been used to designate both electrode part and power supply parts (specifically pages 9-10 of specification). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: figure 2, items 37, 45, 47, 51, and 61. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing

Art Unit: 2879

on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keijser et al. (US 6,300,729) in view of Kurashina et al. (US PUB 2002/0155944).

Regarding claim 1, Keijser discloses a metal halide lamp in figures 1 and 2 comprising an arc tube (item 1) that includes: a pair of electrode structures, each of which has an electrode (items 4 and 5) at a tip (items 4b and 5b); a main tube part (item 3), and containing a discharge space (item 11) in which the electrodes of the electrode structures are located to oppose each

Application/Control Number: 10/536,704 Page 4

Art Unit: 2879

other; and a pair of thin tube parts (item 34 and 35) that connect from the main tube part and are sealed by respective sealing members (item 10) with the electrode structures inserted therein, wherein  $20 \le WL \le 50$  and  $EL/Di \ge 2.0$  and are satisfied (column 4, lines 41-43), where tube wall loading of the arc tube is WL ( $W/cm^2$ ), a distance between the electrodes is EL (mm), and an inner diameter of the main tube part is Di (mm), but does not expressly disclose that the main tube part is made of polycrystalline alumina ceramic and that  $0.5 \le G \le 5.0$  is satisfied, where a crystal grain diameter of the polycrystalline alumina ceramic is G ( $\mu$ m), as claimed by Applicant. Kurashina is cited to show a ceramic polycrystalline to be used in an arc tube of a metal halide lamp (paragraph 2). Kurashina also shows that the ceramic polycrystalline has an average grain size in the range of 5 to 50  $\mu$ m (paragraph 12). Kurashina teaches that by using this type of ceramic polycrystalline structure, there is no fear to occur any cracks under temperature variations (paragraph 13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Keijser's invention to include the main tube part made of polycrystalline alumina ceramic and that  $0.5 \le G \le 5.0$  is satisfied, where a crystal grain diameter of the polycrystalline alumina ceramic is G ( $\mu m$ ) as suggested by Kurashina for preventing cracks under temperature variations.

Regarding claim 2, Keijser and Kurashina disclose the metal halide lamp of claim 1, wherein the crystal grain diameter G ( $\mu$ m) of the polycrystalline alumina ceramic satisfies  $0.5 \le G \le 1.5$  (paragraph 28).

Application/Control Number: 10/536,704

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 3, the combined reference of Keijser and Kurashina disclose the metal halide lamp of claim 1, wherein the inner diameter Di (mm) of the main tube part satisfies  $2.0 \le$  Di  $\le 10.0$  (Keijser; column 4, lines 41-42).

Regarding claim 4, the combined reference of Keijser and Kurashina disclose the metal halide lamp of claim 1, but do not expressly disclose that the polycrystalline alumina ceramic contains magnesium oxide (MgO) of 200 ppm or below, as claimed by Applicant. Kurashina does disclose though, that the MgO may be contained at 250 ppm (paragraph 28). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the polycrystalline alumina ceramic contain magnesium oxide (MgO) of 200 ppm or below, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claim 5, the combined reference of Keijser and Kurashina disclose the metal halide lamp of claim 1, wherein the polycrystalline alumina ceramic has transmittance of 94% or more (Kurashina; paragraph 32).

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie K. Walford whose telephone number is (571)-272-6012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,704 Page 6

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

nkw

5. Rot Birthology 39 Sixhor Rus 79